arXiv:alg-geom/9305002v1 3 May 1993

A Finiteness Theorem for Elliptic Calabi-Yau Threefolds

Mark Gross *

May, 1993

Mathematical Sciences Research Institute
1000 Centennial Drive
Berkeley, CA 94720
mgross@msri.org

§0. Introduction

For the purposes of this paper, we define a *Calabi-Yau threefold* to be an algebraic threefold X over the field of complex numbers which is birationally equivalent to a threefold Y with $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, $K_Y = 0$, and $\chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = h^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$. We say that Y is a minimal Calabi-Yau threefold.

The analagous class for surfaces are the K3 surfaces. All K3 surfaces are homeomorphic: there is one underlying topological type. On the other hand, there are a large number of topological types of minimal Calabi-Yau threefolds, but it is an open question of whether there are a finite number of such types. A stronger question would be to ask whether there are a finite number of families of algebraic minimal Calabi-Yau threefolds. This is definitely not true for K3 surfaces: there are a countably infinite number of algebraic families. Up to birational equivalence, we answer this question for those Calabi-Yaus which possess an elliptic fibration.

Our main theorem is:

Theorem 0.1. *There exists a finite number of triples $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ of quasi-projective varieties with maps*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_i \arrow[d, "f_i"] \arrow[dr, "\pi_i"] & \\
\mathcal{S}_i \arrow[r, "g_i"] & \mathcal{T}_i
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π_i is smooth and proper with each fibre a Calabi-Yau threefold, f_i proper with generic fibre an elliptic curve, and g_i smooth and proper with each fibre a rational surface, such that for any elliptic fibration $X \rightarrow S$ with X Calabi-Yau and S rational there exists

---

* *Research at MSRI supported in part by NSF grant #DMS 9022140.*

a $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$ for some i such that there are birational maps $X \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_i)_t$, $S \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{S}_i)_t$ with the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{X}_i)_t \arrow[d] \\
S \arrow[r, dashed] & (\mathcal{S}_i)_t
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us make several remarks before indicating the idea of the proof. First, in this theorem, we only consider elliptic fibrations with rational bases. If X is Calabi-Yau and $f : X \to S$ an elliptic fibration, then S is either rational or birational to an Enriques surface. In the latter case, X has a particularly simple structure. In particular, it is the quotient of a three-fold Y with $\kappa(Y) = 0$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) > 0$. (See Proposition 2.10).

Secondly, note that by [12], Theorem 1.2, we can thus obtain a finite number of families of minimal Calabi-Yau threefolds $\mathcal{X}_i' \to \mathcal{T}_i$. However, because of the non-uniqueness of minimal models for threefolds, not every minimal elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold will be isomorphic to a $(\mathcal{X}_i')_t$ for some $t \in \mathcal{T}_i$, but merely birational to such. It is not known if a Calabi-Yau threefold always has a finite number of minimal models, even up to automorphism. Thus this result does not imply that there are only a finite number of topological types of even non-singular minimal elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. Nevertheless, any such threefold will be related by a series of flops to a finite number of possible topological types.

Thirdly, this result is a much stronger one than proved in [10], i.e. that there exists a finite number of possible Euler characteristics of certain types of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. Our theorem says that there exists a complete, finite classification. One hopes that any minimal Calabi-Yau threefold with sufficiently large Picard number is elliptic. With our results, proving such a conjecture would then show that there are only a finite number of types of minimal Calabi-Yau threefolds with Picard number greater than some fixed number. This hopefully gives some suggestion that there are only a finite number of types of algebraic Calabi-Yau threefolds.

If one were to ask how many families of elliptic Calabi-Yaus exist, the proof of this theorem gives no hint, other than to suggest that it is a very large number. Making an uninformed guess, I would conservatively expect thousands of families.

The proof of this theorem relies heavily on results about elliptic threefolds. Results of [3] and [7] tell us how to go about classifying elliptic three-folds; we simply have to apply them carefully. The proof also uses minimal model theory for threefolds, especially as developed for elliptic threefolds in [4] and [6]. The main application of these techniques is

Theorem 0.2. *Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with X and S projective and X having a minimal model with trivial canonical class. Then there exists a birationally equivalent equidimensional fibration $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ where $\bar{X}$ is a minimal model of X and $\bar{S}$ is a projective surface with only DuVal singularities.*

Grassi in [4] has proven this theorem in the case that f has no multiple fibres. In fact, in that case $\bar{S}$ can be found to be non-singular.

The second key ingredient is the calculation of the Tate-Shafarevich group for elliptic fibrations in [3] and [7]. The most important fact is that the Tate-Shafarevich group is finite for Calabi-Yau elliptic fibrations, something which fails for K3 surfaces. After these two observations, most of the proof is simply careful bookkeeping to make sure that we have not missed any threefolds.

I would like to thank M. Reid for suggesting this problem to me, and I. Dolgachev and A. Grassi for many useful discussions.

§1. Generalities on Elliptic Threefolds.

We recall some basic definitions and state some general results about elliptic threefolds which will be necessary. We note that all varieties in this paper are algebraic varieties of finite type over the complex numbers.

Definition 1.1. *A projective morphism $f : X \to S$ is called an elliptic fibration if its generic fibre E is a regular curve of genus one and all fibres are geometrically connected. $f : X \to S$ is called a model for E. The closed subset*

$$\Sigma_{red} = \Sigma_{red}(f) = \{s \in S | X_s \text{ is not regular}\}$$

is called the (reduced) discriminant locus. If $t \in S$ is a codimension one point of S, then the fibre type of t is the Kodaira fibre type ($_mI_n, I_n^*, II, II^*, III, III^*, IV, IV^*$) of the central fibre of a relatively minimal model (or Néron model) of $X(\bar{t}) = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{t}}$. ($\mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{t}}$ is the strict henselization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,t}$.) A collision is a singular point of Σ. The closed subset

$$\Sigma^m = \{s \in S | f \text{ is not smooth at any } x \in f^{-1}(s)\}$$

is called the multiple locus of f. A fibre over a point $s \in \Sigma^m$ is called multiple. A fibre is called an isolated multiple fibre if it is over a zero-dimensional component of Σ^m. A section (resp. a rational section) of f is a closed subscheme Y of X for which the restriction of f to Y is an isomorphism (resp. a birational morphism).

Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration between two non-singular complex varieties, which is smooth off of a simple normal crossings divisor on S. Then by [11], Theorem

20, $f_*\omega_{X/S}$ is an invertible sheaf. This defines a divisor on S, which we denote by $\Delta_{X/S}$ (or Δ if no confusion will arise). If $f : X \to S$ is then just an elliptic fibration with X and S having singularities in codimension 3 and 2 respectively, then there exists an open subset $S_0 \subseteq S$ such that $S - S_0$ is codimension 2 in S and if f_0 is the induced morphism $X_0 = X \times_S S_0 \to S_0$, then X_0 and S_0 are non-singular and $\Sigma_{red}(f_0)$ has simple normal crossings. Then Δ_{X_0/S_0} is a Cartier divisor on S_0, which extends to a Weil divisor on S, which we denote by $\Delta_{X/S}$ again.

Let $\{M_i\}$ be the codimension one components of Σ^m, with fibre type ${}_{m_i}I_a$. We set

$$\Lambda_{X/S} = \Delta_{X/S} + \sum \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} M_i.$$

We then have

Lemma 1.2. *Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with $\dim S = 2$. Then there exists a blow-up $S' \to S$ and a birationally equivalent fibration $f' : X' \to S'$ such that*

1) *f' is flat and relatively minimal, X' has only $\mathbf{Q}$-factorial terminal singularities, S' is regular, and the reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} of f' has simple normal crossings.*
2) *The modular function J gives a morphism $J : S' \to \mathbf{P}^1$, and $\Delta = \Delta_{X'/S'}$ is a divisor on S' such that*

$$12\Delta \sim J_\infty + \sum 12a_i D_i$$

where J_∞ is the fibre of J at $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1$, and D_i are the components of Σ_{red} with $12a_i = 0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9$ or 10 depending on whether D_i is of fibre-type ${}_mI_a, II, III, IV, I_a^*, IV^*, III^*$ or II^* respectively.

3)

$$K_{X'} = f'^*(K_{S'} + \Lambda_{X'/S'}).$$

Since $f'^* M_i$ is a divisor with multiplicity m_i, this gives a well-defined $\mathbf{Q}$-cartier Weil divisor on X'.

Proof: By [20], Theorem A.1, there exists a blowing up $S' \to S$ and a model $X' \to S'$ satisfying 1). (We can always assume that Σ_{red} has simple normal crossings by blowing up S first until this is achieved.) 2) then follows from [11], Theorem 20. 3) follows from [19], Theorem 0.1. •

Next, let $\phi : S \to S'$ be a birational morphism from a smooth surface S to a possibly singular surface S', with normal singularities, with exceptional curves $E_1, \ldots, E_n \subseteq S$. Recall that if D is a $\mathbf{Q}$-Weil divisor on S', then $\phi^* D$ is the unique $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor on S of the form $\phi^{-1}(D)+\sum a_iE_i$ for some a_i such that $\phi^*D.E_i=0$ for all n. This allows us to define an intersection product on S' by $C.D=\phi^*C.\phi^*D$.

The following theorem is an application of Mori's minimal model algorithm, and will be crucial for the classification of singularities of the base.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $f:X\to S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration with $K_X=f^*(K_S+\Lambda_{X/S})$, S a non-singular surface. Let $\phi:S\to S'$ be a birational morphism with S' normal, exceptional curves $E_1,\ldots,E_n$, and $K_S+\Lambda_{X/S}=\phi^*(K_{S'}+\Lambda_{X/S'})+\sum_{i=1}^n a_iE_i$ with $a_i>0$ for all i. Then if $f':X'\to S'$ is a relatively minimal model of $\phi\circ f:X\to S'$, then $K_{X'}=f'^*(K_{S'}+\Lambda_{X'/S'})$. (Note that $\Lambda_{X'/S'}=\Lambda_{X/S'}$.) Furthermore, if f is equidimensional, then f' is equidimensional.*

Proof: [6], Theorem 2.5. •

Definition 1.4. *Let $f:X\to S$ be an elliptic fibration. An elliptic fibration $j:J\to S$ is called the jacobian of f if the generic fibre of j is the jacobian of the generic fibre of f. The jacobian of f is thus defined up to birational equivalence.*

Any jacobian fibration over a non-singular variety S is birationally equivalent to a Weierstrass model over S ([3], Prop. 2.4). We review the definition of a Weierstrass model. See [1,18,19] for details. Let $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on a scheme S, $a\in H^0(S,\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$, and $b\in H^0(S,\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$ such that $4a^3+27b^2$ is a non-zero section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$. Let $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_S\oplus\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2}\oplus\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3})$, $\pi:\mathbf{P}\to S$ be the natural projection, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ the tautological line bundle on $\mathbf{P}$. We define the scheme $W(\mathcal{L},a,b)$ as a closed subscheme of $\mathbf{P}$ given by the equation $Y^2Z=X^3+aXZ^2+bZ^3$ where X, Y and Z are given by the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\otimes\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\otimes\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ which correspond to the natural injections of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2}$, $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3}$ and $\mathcal{O}_S$ into $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(1)=\mathcal{O}_S\oplus\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -2}\oplus\mathcal{L}^{\otimes -3}$, respectively.

The structure morphism $f:W(\mathcal{L},a,b)\to S$ is a flat elliptic fibration, called a *Weierstrass fibration.* It has a section $\sigma:S\to W(\mathcal{L},a,b)$ defined by the S-point $(X,Y,Z)=(0,1,0)$. We also see that $\sigma(S)$ lies in the smooth locus of $W(\mathcal{L},a,b)$ if S is regular. We will call this section the *section at infinity.* It is easy to see that $K_{W(\mathcal{L},a,b)}=f^*(K_S\otimes\mathcal{L})$.

A Weierstrass fibration $W(\mathcal{L},a,b)\to S$ is called minimal if there is no effective divisor D on S such that $div(a)\geq 4D$, $div(b)\geq 6D$. Any Weierstrass model is birationally equivalent to a minimal Weierstrass model.

The reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} of $W(\mathcal{L},a,b)\to S$ is equal to the support of the Cartier divisor defined by the section Σ of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 12}$ given by $4a^3+27b^2$. This gives the discriminant locus a scheme structure. If $j:J\to S$ is a relatively minimal jacobian fibration and $w : W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \to S$ a birationally equivalent minimal Weierstrass model, then $\Sigma_{red}(j) = supp(\Sigma(w))$. Thus, when dealing with a relatively minimal jacobian fibration, we can set $\Sigma(j) := \Sigma(w)$, and $\Sigma(j) \sim 12\Delta_{J/S}$.

Let $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \to S$ be a Weierstrass model with $\dim S = 2$. Then there exists a blowing-up $S' \to S$ with S' regular, a Weierstrass model $W(\mathcal{L}', a', b') \to S'$ birational to $W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \to S$, and a resolution of singularities $X' \to W(\mathcal{L}', a', b')$ with X' flat over S'. Indeed, Miranda [15] has given an explicit algorithm for finding such a resolution, first by blowing up the base surface S until the reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} has simple normal crossings, and continuing further so that only one of a small list of possible collisions between components of Σ can occur, namely the following possibilities: $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}, I_{M_1} + I^*_{M_2}, II + IV, II + I^*_0, II + IV^*, IV + I^*_0, III + I^*_0$. Thus we have

Definition 1.5. *A Miranda elliptic fibration is an elliptic fibration $f : X \to S$ such that*

- *a) X and S are regular and f is flat and has a section;*
- *b) the reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} has simple normal crossings;*
- *c) All collisions are of type $I_{M_1} + I_{M_2}$, $I_{M_1} + I^*_{M_2}$, $II + IV$, $II + I^*_0$, $II + IV^*$, $IV + I^*_0$ or $III + I^*_0$.*

A few comments about passing from an elliptic three-fold to its jacobian. If $f : X \to S$ and $j : J \to S$ are relatively minimal, then outside a codimension 2 subset and Σ^m, the two fibrations are locally (i.e. in the complex or étale topologies on S) isomorphic. This follows from the proof of [3], Prop. 2.17. Fibres over collision points may change; in particular, there may be flat relatively minimal models $f : X \to S$ such that there is no flat relatively minimal model for its jacobian over S. Fortunately, the canonical bundle formula remains valid for a relatively minimal model of the jacobian even though it may not be flat, assuming the discriminant locus has simple normal crossings.

Lemma 1.6. *Let $f : X \to S$ be a relatively minimal elliptic fibration with $\Sigma_{red}(f)$ simple normal crossings. Then a relatively minimal model $j : J \to S$ of the jacobian of f obeys*

$$K_J = j^*(K_S + \Delta_{J/S}).$$

Furthermore, $12\Delta_{J/S} = 12\Delta_{X/S}$.

Proof. Since $\Sigma_{red}(j) \subseteq \Sigma_{red}(f)$, we see that $\Sigma_{red}(j)$ must have simple normal crossings. Thus by [6], Theorem 1.14, the formula for the canonical class of J holds.

For the second statement, it is clear that the J-morphism for f and j coincide, since f and j are locally isomorphic away from the multiple fibre locus. Furthermore, in codimension one the only multiple fibres possible are of type ${}_mI_a$. By [11], Theorem 20, we have

$$12\Delta_{X/S} = J_\infty + \sum a_i D_i,$$

where the a_i and D_i are as in item 2) of Lemma 1.2. The same formula holds for $12\Delta_{J/S}$, and by the above observations, J_∞ and the a_i and D_i coincide for both fibrations. •

§2. Proof of Theorem 0.2 and Related Results.

Proof of Theorem 0.2: Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with X birational to a threefold with trivial canonical class. Replace $f : X \to S$ with the birationally equivalent fibration given in Lemma 1.2.

Following [4], in the proof of Lemma 1.4, one can then find a unique contraction map $\phi : S \to \bar{S}$ such that the Zariski decomposition of the $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor $K_S + \Lambda_{X/S}$ is $\phi^*(K_{\bar{S}} + \Lambda_{X/\bar{S}}) + \sum c_i E_i$, the E_i the exceptional curves of ϕ, with $K_{\bar{S}} + \Lambda_{X/\bar{S}}$ nef, the c_i positive rational numbers, and $\bar{S}$ has log-terminal singularities. Then Lemma 1.3 shows that if one takes a relatively minimal model $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ of $X \to \bar{S}$, then $\bar{X}$ is in fact minimal, with $K_{\bar{X}} = \bar{f}^*(K_{\bar{S}} + \Lambda_{\bar{X}/\bar{S}})$, and thus in our case $K_{\bar{S}} + \Lambda_{\bar{X}/\bar{S}} = 0$. Furthermore $\bar{f}$ is equidimensional. In the proof of [21] Theorem 3.1, Oguiso shows that if $K_{\bar{X}} = 0$, then $\bar{f}$ cannot have multiple fibres in codimension one; hence it has only isolated multiple fibres. Thus the one-dimensional components M_i of $\Sigma^m(f)$ are all exceptional for ϕ, and we can write $0 = K_{\bar{X}} = \bar{f}^*(K_{\bar{S}} + \bar{\Delta})$, where $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta_{X/\bar{S}} = \Delta_{\bar{X}/\bar{S}}$.

We need to show that $\bar{S}$ has only canonical (i.e. DuVal) singularities. Let $P \in \bar{S}$ be a singular point, and replace S and $\bar{S}$ with analytic germs around $\phi^{-1}(P)$ and P. We have $\phi : S \to \bar{S}$, with $\phi^{-1}(P) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r E_i$, each E_i a $\mathbf{P}^1$, as P is necessarily a log-terminal, hence rational, singularity. As S and $\bar{S}$ are germs,

$$\operatorname{Pic} S = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*) = H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}^r,$$

generated by divisors D_i, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, with $D_i.E_j = \delta_{ij}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Cl(\bar{S}) &= \operatorname{Pic}(\bar{S} - P) \\ &= \operatorname{Pic}(S - \phi^{-1}(P)) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{Z}^r}{(E_1, \ldots, E_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(E_1, \ldots, E_r)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic} S$ generated by the E_i. The intersection matrix of the E_i's is negative definite, so we can write any element $D \in \operatorname{Pic}(S)$ as a linear combination of the E_i with rational coefficients. $\phi_* : \mathrm{Pic}(S) \to Cl(\bar{S})$ is defined to be the projection $\mathbf{Z}^r \to \mathbf{Z}^r/(E_1, \ldots, E_r)$, so $\phi_* D = 0$ in $Cl(\bar{S})$ if the coefficients of the E_i's in D are integral. It is clear that $\bar{\Delta} = \phi_* \Delta_{X/S}$. Thus we can write

$$K_S + \Delta_{X/S} + \sum \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} E_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(d_i + a_i + \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} \right) E_i + b_i D_i.$$

Here, d_i is the discrepancy of E_i (i.e. $K_S = \sum d_i E_i$), $12a_i$ is the appropriate coefficient of E_i in $12\Delta_{X/S}$ in 2) of Lemma 1.2, a_i depending on the fibre type of E_i, $0 \le a_i < 1$; m_i is the multiplicity of fibres over E_i. Notice that if $a_i > 0$, then $m_i = 1$, since in codimension one there are only multiple fibres of type ${}_mI_a$. Thus

$$0 \le a_i + \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} < 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Finally b_i is the suitable coefficient for those components of $\Sigma_{red}(f)$ appearing in $\Delta_{X/S}$ which are not one of the E_i's but intersect them (this includes J_∞, which can be replaced by another, linearly equivalent, fibre of J which does not contain any E_i). We have $0 \le b_i$, and we can write $\sum b_i D_i = \sum b_i' E_i$, with $b_i' \le 0$, by negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of the E_i's. In fact, since $\sum b_i D_i$ is nef, $b_i' < 0$ for all i unless $\sum b_i D_i = 0$, i.e. $b_i = 0$ for all i. (See, for example, [13], 2.19.3).

By uniqueness of the Zariski decomposition, we must have

$$c_i = d_i + a_i + \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} + b_i'.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$0 = K_{\bar{S}} + \bar{\Delta} = \phi_*(K_S + \Delta_{X/S}),$$

which means that

$$d_i + a_i + b_i' \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \forall i \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore,

$$d_i + a_i + \frac{m_i - 1}{m_i} + b_i' > 0 \quad \forall i \tag{2.3}$$

since $c_i > 0$ for all i.

Now suppose that $d_i < 0$ for some i. Then $d_i + b_i' < 0$, so (2.2) and $a_i < 1$ imply that $d_i + b_i' + a_i \le 0$, with equality only possible if $a_i > 0$, and hence $m_i = 1$, contradicting (2.3). If $a_i = 0$, then $d_i + b_i' \le -1$, again contradicting (2.3). Thus $d_i \ge 0$ for all i, and $\bar{S}$ has a canonical, hence DuVal, singularity. This proves Theorem 0.2.

Note that if $m_i = 1$ for all i, then if $d_i = 0$, $b_i' + a_i$ cannot be greater than zero, so $d_i > 0$ for all i, and hence $\bar{S}$ has terminal singularities, i.e., $\bar{S}$ is smooth, as was shown in [4], Cor. 3.3, using results in [5]. •

Corollary 2.1. *In the notation of the proof of 0.2, let* $E_1, \ldots, E_r$ *be the set of curves in* S *mapping to a singular point* P *of* $\bar{S}$*. Then* $\bigcup E_i$ *is contained in a fibre of the* J*-morphism. Furthermore, if a relatively minimal model for the jacobian* $j : J \to S$ *has a singular fibre over any point in* $\bigcup E_i$*, then* $\bigcup E_i$ *is contained in* J_∞*.*

Proof: Assume that $\bigcup E_i$ is not contained in a fibre of the J-morphism. Then one of the b_i would have to be non-zero, as at least one of the E_i intersects J_∞. Let k be chosen so that $d_k = 0$. If $b'_k < 0$, then $d_k + b'_k < 0$ and (2.2) then implies that $a_k > 0$ so that $m_k = 1$, contradicting (2.2) and (2.3). Thus $b'_k = 0$. This then implies ([13, 2.19.3]) that $b_i = 0$ for all i.

For the second statement, if $j : J \to S$ had a singular fibre over some point of $\bigcup E_i$ and $\bigcup E_i$ were not contained in J_∞, then as $12\Delta_{X/S} = 12\Delta_{J/S}$ (Lemma 1.6) either $b_i \neq 0$ for some i, which we have already seen is not possible, or else $a_i > 0$ for some i. But all the d_i are integers, and a_i is only an integer if $a_i = 0$, so we obtain a contradiction by (2.2). •

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $f : X \to S$ *be an elliptic fibration with* X *a Calabi-Yau threefold and* S *rational, and let* $j : J \to S$ *be its jacobian fibration. Then* J *is a Calabi-Yau threefold, and there is a minimal model* J' *of* J *with a fibration* $j' : J' \to S'$ *along with a morphism* $S' \to \bar{S}$*, where* $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ *is the fibration given in Theorem 0.2.*

Proof: Assume $f : X \to S$ is given as in Lemma 1.2 and $j : J \to S$ as given in Lemma 1.6. We have $K_J = j^*(K_S + \Delta)$, where $\Delta = \Delta_{X/S} = \Delta_{J/S}$, by Lemma 1.6 and the fact that $\operatorname{Pic} S$ has no torsion as S is rational. Now (continuing the notation of the proof of Theorem 0.2)

$$K_S + \Delta = \sum (c_i - (m_i - 1)/m_i) E_i = \sum (d_i + a_i + b'_i) E_i,$$

and by (2.2) and (2.3), $d_i + a_i + b'_i$ is a non-negative integer. Hence $K_S + \Delta$ is an effective divisor, and so is K_J. Thus $K_S + \Delta$ has a Zariski decomposition, which by uniqueness is

$$K_S + \Delta = 0 + \sum (d_i + a_i + b'_i) E_i.$$

Thus to obtain a minimal model for J, we contract those curves E_i on S for which $d_i + a_i + b'_i > 0$, to obtain a surface S', and take $j : J' \to S'$ to be a relatively minimal model of $J \to S'$. Since $S \to S'$ contracts a subset of the exceptional divisors of $\phi : S \to \bar{S}$, there exists a morphism $S' \to \bar{S}$.

To show J' is Calabi-Yau, we now only need to show that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_J) = 0 = h^1(\mathcal{O}_J) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_J)$. But this follows from the corresponding facts for X by [4, 2.3, 2.4]. •

Proposition 2.3. *If $f : X \to S$ is an elliptic fibration with X birational to a Calabi-Yau threefold, and $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ the minimal model constructed in Theorem 0.2, then $\bar{S}$ is either rational or is birational to an Enriques surface. In the latter case, the minimal resolution of $\bar{S}$ is a minimal Enriques surfaces.*

Proof: It is clear that $\kappa(S) \leq 0$, and if $\kappa(S) = -\infty$, then S must be rational since $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. If S has Kodaira dimension zero, then it must be a K3 or Enriques surface for the same reason. If it is a K3, then by [4], Prop. 2.2, $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \geq 1$ since $h^2(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1$, and this is again a contradiction. Thus S must be an Enriques surface. By [4], Theorem 3.1 b), the minimal resolution of $\bar{S}$ is a minimal Enriques surface. •

Definition 2.4. *Let S be a normal Gorenstein surface. An irreducible curve C in S is an exceptional curve of the first kind if $K_S.C < 0$ and $C^2 < 0$. S is said to be minimal if S contains no exceptional curves of the first kind.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let S be a normal Gorenstein surface. There exists a morphism $S \to S'$ with S' minimal. If $K_{S'}$ is not nef, then either (i) $-K_{S'}$ is numerically ample (i.e. $-K_{S'}.C > 0$ for all irreducible curves C on S') and $\rho(S') = \dim \mathrm{Pic}(S') \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 1$ or (ii) there is a smooth curve C and a map $S' \to C$ such that no fibre contains an exceptional curve of the first kind. In this case all fibres of π are irreducible. In any event, S' is called a minimal model of S.*

Proof: [22, Thm. 4.9 and Lemma 4.6] The theorem is proved by contracting exceptional curves of the first kind until there are none left, and then analyzing the case that $K_{S'}$ is not nef. •

Remark 2.6. If S has only DuVal singularities, then an exceptional curve C of the first kind is either a -1-curve disjoint from the singular locus, or else passes through precisely one singularity of type A_n. Furthermore, if $\tilde{S} \to S$ is the minimal resolution of S, we can write the resolution of the A_n singularity as a union of irreducible curves $C_1, \ldots, C_n$, $C_i.C_{i+1} = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then the proper transform of C on $\tilde{S}$ is a -1-curve and intersects only C_1 or C_n. In particular, C then contracts to a smooth point. [22, Example 1.2.]

Definition 2.7. *A Gorenstein log Del Pezzo surface is a surface S with only DuVal singularities with $-K_S$ ample. The rank of S is $\rho(S) = \dim \mathrm{Pic}(S) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.*

Clearly if $\rho(S) = 1$ then $-K_S$ is ample if it is numerically ample.

Proposition 2.8. *Let S be a rational surface with only A_n singularities. Then there exists a minimal model S' of S which is either a (i) rank one Gorenstein log Del Pezzo surface, or (ii) there is a map $S' \to \mathbf{P}^1$. Each fibre is a $\mathbf{P}^1$, and each reducible fibre of $\tilde{S}' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ where $\tilde{S}'$ is a minimal desingularization of S' either has three components C_1, C_2, C_3 with intersection matrix*

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & C_2 & C_3 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

or four components C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 with

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccc} C_1 & C_2 & C_3 & C_4 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}.$$

Here in the first case C_1 and C_3 are contracted to obtain S', giving two A_1 singularities, and in the second case C_1, C_2, and C_3 are contracted to give one A_3 singularity. We call S' a minimal ruled surface, and the singular fibres of this surface are the fibres containing singularities of the surface.

Proof: Let $\pi : \tilde{S}' \to S'$ be a minimal resolution of singularities. By Remark 2.6, S' has only A_n singularities, so $K_{\tilde{S}'} = \pi^* K_{S'}$, and since $\tilde{S}'$ is rational, $K_{\tilde{S}'}$ is not nef, and hence $K_{S'}$ is not nef. By Theorem 2.5, we then have the two cases given.

All that remains to check is the possible reducible fibres of the fibration $\tilde{S}' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ if S' is a minimal ruled surface. Let $C_1, \ldots, C_r$ be the irreducible components of a given fibre of this fibration, with C_r the one curve of the fibre not contracted by π. Each C_i is a $\mathbf{P}^1$, and $C_i^2 = -2$, $i < r$, and $C_r^2 = -1$, since the singularities are DuVal, the resolution is minimal, and there must be at least one component with self-intersection -1. C_r cannot intersect more than two other components, as a contraction of C_r would then yield three components meeting at a smooth point, and the fibre must always be a tree of $\mathbf{P}^1$'s. If C_r meets two other curves, then after contracting C_r we obtain two curves which intersect, each with self-intersection -1. Contracting one of these yields a curve of self-intersection zero. By Zariski's Lemma, this must then be the only component, $r = 3$, and we are in the first case.

If C_r meets only one curve, say C_{r-1}, then we can contract C_r, and now C_{r-1} is a -1 curve. We repeat the process, and for this to terminate, we must eventually arrive at the previous situation. Thus the singularity would be D_{r-1} unless $r = 4$, in which case the singularity is A_3, and we are in the second case. •

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with X a Calabi-Yau threefold and S rational, and let $j : J \to S$ be its jacobian fibration, and $\bar{S}$, S' as in Proposition 2.2. Then $\bar{S}$ has only A_n singularities, and a minimal model for $\bar{S}$, $\bar{S}'$, is either $\mathbf{P^2}$, F_e, $0 \leq e \leq 12$, a rank one Gorenstein log Del Pezzo surface with only A_n singularities, or else is a minimal ruled surface with only A_n singularities and at most four singular fibres. There is a birational morphism $S' \to \tilde{S}'$, where $\tilde{S}'$ is the minimal resolution of $\bar{S}'$, and there is a birational morphism $\tilde{S}' \to F_2$ if $\bar{S}'$ is a log Del Pezzo surface, and a birational morphism $\tilde{S}' \to F_e$, $e = 0, 1$ or 2 if $\tilde{S}'$ is a minimal ruled surface.*

(2.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "\pi"] & S' \arrow[r, "\pi'"] \arrow[d] & \tilde{S}' \arrow[d] \\
 & \bar{S} \arrow[r] & \bar{S}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: That $\bar{S}$ has only A_n singularities is Proposition 3.3, and hence $\bar{S}'$ has only A_n singularities by Remark 2.6. First suppose that $\bar{S}'$ is non-singular. Then there is a birational morphism $S' \to \bar{S}'$ by Proposition 2.2. By [4], Prop. 3.5, $\bar{S}'$ is then either $\mathbf{P^2}$ or F_e, $0 \leq e \leq 12$.

If $\bar{S}'$ is singular, then since there is a morphism $S' \to \bar{S}'$ by Proposition 2.2, this map must factor through the minimal resolution $S' \to \tilde{S}' \to \bar{S}'$.

If $\bar{S}'$ is a log Del Pezzo surface, then by [17], Lemma 3, there is a morphism $\tilde{S}' \to F_2$.

If $\bar{S}'$ is a minimal ruled surface, consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "\pi"] & S' \arrow[r, "\pi'"] \arrow[d] & \tilde{S}' \arrow[r, "\pi''"] \arrow[d] & \tilde{S}'' \arrow[d] \\
 & \bar{S} \arrow[r] & \bar{S}' \arrow[r] & \mathbf{P}^1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π'' is the contraction of those -1-curves in reducible fibres of $\tilde{S}' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ which have four components (i.e. C_4 in the notation of Proposition 2.8), so that each reducible fibre of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ has precisely three components. (This contraction is merely to avoid having two seperate cases to analyze.)

Now the discriminant locus of $j : J \to S$, $\Sigma \sim 12\Delta$, can be written as

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 + J_\infty,$$

where J_∞ is the fibre of the J-morphism to $\mathbf{P}^1$ over ∞, and $\Sigma_0 = \sum 12a_iD_i$ as in Lemma 1.2, 2). By Cor. 2.1, we can find a point $P \in \mathbf{P}^1$ such that J_P is disjoint from any curve on S contracting to a singular point on $\bar{S}$, and then

$$\Sigma \sim \Sigma_0 + J_P.$$

Replace Σ by the linearly equivalent divisor $\Sigma_0 + J_P$, so that $\Sigma \subseteq S$ is disjoint from all curves contracted to singular points on $\bar{S}$. Now $\pi_*\Sigma = \Sigma' \sim -12K_{S'}$ (as $\pi_*\Delta = -K_{S'}$) and so $\pi''_*\pi'_*\pi_*\Sigma = \tilde{\Sigma}'' \sim -12K_{\tilde{S}''}$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}''$ is disjoint from the curves C_1 and C_3 on any reducible fibre of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$.

If $\sigma \subseteq \tilde{S}''$ is a section of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$, with $\sigma^2 \le -3$, then $\sigma.K_{\tilde{S}''} \ge 1$, $\sigma.\tilde{\Sigma}'' < 0$, and so $\sigma \subseteq \tilde{\Sigma}''$. But σ must pass through either the C_1 or the C_3 component of a singular fibre of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$, which is then a contradiction. Thus $\tilde{S}''$ does not contain a section of self-intersection ≤ -3, so there is a morphism $\tilde{S}'' \to F_e$, $e = 0, 1$ or 2.

Now let C be an irreducible component of $\tilde{\Sigma}''$ which dominates $\mathbf{P}^1$, and let $\tilde{C}$ be its normalization. As above, C cannot be a section. We can take a basis of $\operatorname{Pic}\tilde{S}''$ to be σ_0, a section of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ with $\sigma_0^2 = -e$, f the class of a fibre and C_2^i, C_3^i, $1 \le i \le n$, where C_2^i and C_3^i are the components of the n reducible fibres, using the numbering in Proposition 2.8. We can assume that $\sigma_0.C_3^i = 0$ for all i by interchanging C_1^i and C_3^i if necessary. We can then write

$$C \sim a\sigma_0 + bf + \sum_{1\le i\le n, j=2,3} c_j^iC_j^i,$$

with $a \ge 2$, since C is not a section. Now as remarked above, $C.C_1^i = C.C_3^i = 0$, for all i, which yields the equalities

$$a + c_2^i = 0$$

$$c_2^i - 2c_3^i = 0.$$

Hence

$$C \sim a\sigma_0 + bf - \sum_{1\le i\le n}(aC_2^i + \frac{a}{2}C_3^i).$$

We also have

$$K_{\tilde{S}'} \sim -2\sigma_0 - (2+e)f + \sum_{1\le i\le n}(2C_2^i + C_3^i).$$

By adjunction, we then obtain

$$2p_a(\tilde{C}) - 2 \le -a^2e + ae + 2ab - 2a - 2b + n(-\frac{a^2}{2} + a),$$

and Riemann-Hurwitz tells us that

$$2p_a(\tilde{C}) - 2 = -2a + R,$$

where R is the degree of the ramification divisor of $\tilde{C} \to \mathbf{P}^1$. It is easy to see that $R \geq an/2$, since if $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ are the points of $\mathbf{P}^1$ where the fibres of $\tilde{S}'' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ are reducible, then every point of $\tilde{C}$ over P_i is a ramification point of $\tilde{C} \to \mathbf{P}^1$. Thus we obtain the inequality

$$-a^2e + ae + 2ab - 2a - 2b + n(-\frac{a^2}{2} + a) \geq -2a + an/2,$$

which reduces to (remembering that $a \geq 2$)

$$\frac{b}{a} \geq \frac{n}{4} + \frac{e}{2}.$$

Thus if $n > 4$, we see that $\frac{b}{a} > 1 + e/2$. But

$$\tilde{\Sigma}'' \cong -12K_{\tilde{S}'} \cong a'\sigma_0 + b'f + \sum c_i' C_2^i + d_i' C_3^i,$$

with $b'/a' = 1 + e/2$, so no sum of components with $b/a > 1 + e/2$ can yield such a $\tilde{\Sigma}''$. Thus $n \leq 4$. •

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with X Calabi-Yau and S birational to an Enriques surface, and let $\bar{f} : \bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ be as in Theorem 0.2. Then there exists a surface T which is either a K3 or abelian surface, and a map $g : T \to \bar{S}$, where g is a Galois covering which is étale in codimension one, with $\bar{X} \times_{\bar{S}} T$ birational to $E \times T$ over T for some elliptic curve E.*

Proof: This follows immediately from [19], Appendix, Thm. (2.1). •

§3. Tate-Shafarevich Groups.

We first review the notion of the Tate-Shafarevich group for elliptic threefolds introduced in [3]. See [3] §§1 and 2 for more details.

Let A be an elliptic curve with a rational point defined over a field $K = K(S)$, the function field of the surface S. Let $i : \eta \to S$ be the inclusion of the generic point. We define the Weil-Châtelet group

$$WC(A) := H^1(\eta, A),$$

thinking of A as a sheaf in the étale topology. This is the group of torsors over A, i.e. the set of curves of genus 1 over K with jacobian A. Now for each $s \in S$, there is a natural localization map

$$loc_{\bar{s}} : WC(A) \to WC(A_{\bar{s}})$$

where $A_{\bar{s}} = A \times_\eta \eta_{\bar{s}}$ and $\eta_{\bar{s}}$ is the function field of $\mathcal{O}_{S,\bar{s}}$, the strict henselization of the local ring of S at s. The map is given by $E \mapsto E \times_\eta \eta_{\bar{s}}$. We define the Tate-Shafarevich group to be

$$Ш_S(A) := \bigcap_{s \in S} \ker(loc_{\bar{s}}).$$

This turns out to be also $H^1(S, i_*A)$, with cohomology in the étale topology.

We can identify $Ш_S(A)$ with the set

$\{E \in WC(A) | X_E \to S$ has a rational section locally in the étale topology at every point $s \in S\}$

where $X_E \to S$ is proper and a model of E (i.e. $(X_E)_\eta = E$.) Thus if $E \in Ш_S(A)$, $f : X \to S$ a model for E, then f can have only isolated multiple fibres. In this case, if $s \in S$ and X_s is an isolated multiple fibre, f still has a rational section locally at s. Such an isolated multiple fibre is called a *locally trivial isolated multiple fibre.* (See [3], 2.19.)

If $f : X \to S$ is an elliptic fibration with only locally trivial isolated multiple fibres, with X birational to a Calabi-Yau, then we have seen in Proposition 2.2 that its jacobian, $j : J \to S$, is also birational to a Calabi-Yau. Thus as a first approximation to a finiteness theorem, we would hope that $Ш_S(A)$ is finite where A is the generic fibre of j.

In [3], §1, we have shown how to calculate $Ш_S(A)$ if $j : J \to S$ is a Miranda model. From this we obtain almost immediately the following crucial theorem. Note that this fails for elliptic K3 surfaces, since $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ when X is K3. This explains why our main theorem is not true in the K3 case.

Proposition 3.1. *If $J \to S$ is a Miranda fibration with generic fibre A and J a Calabi-Yau threefold and S projective, then $Ш_S(A)$ is a finite group.*

Proof: By [3], Theorem 2.24, $Ш_S(A)$ is an extension of $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^r$ by a finite group, where r is the corank of $Ш_S(A)$, and

$$r = b_2(J) - \rho(J) - (b_2(S) - \rho(S))$$

where ρ is the rank of the Picard group and b_2 is the second Betti number. Since $h^2(\mathcal{O}_J) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, $b_2(J) = \rho(J)$ and $b_2(S) = \rho(S)$. Thus $r = 0$ and $Ш_S(A)$ is a finite group. •

Of course, an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold might have multiple fibres which are not locally trivial. The group $Ш_{S-Z}(A)/Ш_S(A)$ measures the additional fibrations one obtains by allowing multiple fibres along Z. Since only isolated multiple fibres are allowed on the minimal model of an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold, we consider sets Z which can be contracted to a set of points. This motivates the following proposition. The proof requires familiarity with the results and notation of [7].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $j : J \to S$ be a Miranda model with generic fibre A, and let $E_i \subseteq S$, $1 \le i \le n$ be irreducible projective curves with the intersection matrix $(E_i.E_j)$ negative definite. Then the group*

$$Ш_{S-\bigcup E_i}(A)/Ш_S(A)$$

is a finite group.

Proof: Put $Z = \bigcup E_i$, and order the E_i's so that $E_1, \ldots, E_{n_1}$ are of fibre-type I_0 with $j^{-1}(E_i) = E_i \times C_i$ for elliptic curves C_i, $1 \le i \le n_1$, $E_{n_1+1}, \ldots, E_{n_2}$ are of fibre type I_a, Case I, $a \ge 1$. (See [7], §1, for the distinction between Case I and Case I^* for curves of fibre type I_a, $a \ge 1$.) The remaining components of Z will be curves not of this type. Then from [7, 2.11],

$$H^2_Z(S, i_*A) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_1} MW(j^{-1}(E_i)/E_i)_{tors} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \oplus G,$$

where G is a finite group and $MW(j^{-1}(E_i)/E_i)$ is the Mordell-Weil group of the elliptic surface $j^{-1}(E_i) \to E_i$. Indeed, from [7], 2.11, G has various finite contributions from curves of type I_a, Case I^*, a finite number of collisions of type $I_0^* + III$, and the torsion parts of Mordell-Weil groups of non-trivial elliptic surfaces, which by the Mordell-Weil theorem are finitely generated, and hence have finite torsion.

By the exact sequence of local cohomology, we have

$$Ш_{S-Z}(A)/Ш_S(A) \cong \ker(H^2_Z(S, i_*A) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^2(S, i_*A)).$$

So, while $H^2_Z(S, i_*A)$ may well be infinite, we can show that the kernel of ϕ is finite. Recall from [7] that if $\gamma \in H^2_Z(S, i_*A)$, then $\phi(\gamma) = 0$ if and only if the so-called first and second obstructions of γ are zero. See [7], §4, for details.

Let $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n_2}$ be the invariants of an element γ of $H^2_Z(S, i_*A)$ along the curves $E_1, \ldots, E_{n_2}$, with $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n_1} \in (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2} = MW(j^{-1}(E_i)/E_i)_{tors}$ and $\gamma_{n_1+1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n_2} \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$. (See [7], Remark 2.12) Consider the first obstruction along the curves $E_{n_1+1}, \ldots, E_{n_2}$. By [7] Theorems 4.5 and 4.3, this obstruction being zero requires in particular that for all l

$$\left(\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} \gamma_i E_i.E_l\right) + k_l = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $k_l \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ depends on the other invariants of γ along the curves E_i, $i > n_2$. These invariants take values in the finite group G, and thus k_l can only take on a finite number of possible values. Now the intersection matrix $(E_i.E_l)_{n_1+1\leq i,l\leq n_2}$ is negative definite, and as a result, the number of solutions to (3.1) is finite for any given set of values for the k_l's. Thus there are only a finite number of possibilities for the γ_i, $n_1+1\leq i\leq n_2$.

A similar argument works for the γ_i, $1\leq i\leq n_1$, but we need to use the second obstruction, which is a codimension 2 algebraic cycle on J. Identifying $(\operatorname{Pic} C_i)_{tors}$ with $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, following [7], Examples 5.2, 1) and 2), we obtain a cycle of the following form. If $\pi_i : E_i\times C_i \to C_i$ is the second projection, $f_i : E_i\times C_i\to J$ the inclusion, $c_i\in (C_i)_{tors}$, then the second obstruction is a cycle

$$\alpha = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i*}\pi_i^*(\gamma_i)\right)+\beta,$$

where β is a cycle which again only takes on a finite number of possible different values. In order to realise this set of invariants, we need α to be rationally equivalent to zero. In order for this to be possible, in particular we must have $\pi_{l*}f_l^*(\alpha)$ linearly equivalent to zero on each curve C_l, i.e.

$$\pi_{l*}f_l^*(\alpha) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\gamma_i E_i.E_l\right)+k_l = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

as an equation in $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, where the k_l come from the cycle β and thus take on only a finite number of possible values. We then argue as before, and the γ_i, $1\leq i\leq n$, take on only a finite number of values. •

As an application of the above proof, we obtain

Proposition 3.3. *The singularities occuring in $\bar S$ in Theorem 0.2 are all A_n singularities, i.e the minimal resolution consists of a chain of -2 curves.*

Proof: As usual, we have $f : X\to S$, $j : J\to S$ as given in Lemmas 1.2 and 1.6. We have the resolution $S\to\bar S$ of the singularities of $\bar S$. Let $P\in\bar S$ be a singular point. Then $\phi^{-1}(P)$ consists of curves $E_1,\ldots,E_r$ which are all isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^1$. By Corollary 2.1, there are two cases. Either $j^{-1}(E_i) = E_i\times C_i$ for an elliptic curve C_i, or all E_i are of fibre type I_a, $a\geq 1$ and Case I. Thus either formulae (3.1) or (3.2) are relevant, except that there is no k_l. Thus we obtain, with $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_r\in(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ or $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ depending on the two cases, the following system of equations in $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus 2}$ or $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}\gamma_i E_i.E_l = 0,\quad 1\leq l\leq r.$$

The multiplicity of the fibres over E_i in the fibration $f : X \to S$, m_i, is the order of γ_i ([7], 2.12).

Now in the notation of the proof of Theorem 0.2, $a_i = b'_i = 0$ for all i. Thus by (2.3), if $d_i = 0$ for some i, then we must have $m_i > 0$, and hence $\gamma_i \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{C} = (E_i.E_j)$ be the intersection matrix of the E_i's. Then $\gamma_i = 0$ if the ith row of $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$ consists of integers. If the resolution is minimal, it is easy to check that there exists integral rows in $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$ for singularities D_m or E_m. (In fact, for E_8, $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$ itself is integral). If the resolution is not minimal, then it is a blowup of a minimal resolution, and it is easy to see that blowing up does not effect the integrality of a row in $\mathcal{C}^{-1}$. $\bullet$

Example 3.4. Let $f_1 : Y_1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ and $f_2 : Y_2 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ be two rational elliptic surfaces, with $Y_1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ having two singular fibres of type I_0^*. Such a surface exists by [16], Theorem 4.1. Let $X = Y_1 \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} Y_2$ with $p_1, p_2 : X \to Y_1, Y_2$ the projections. If Y_2 is chosen so that no singular fibres of f_1 and f_2 map to the same point in $\mathbf{P}^1$, then X is a non-singular Calabi-Yau threefold, and p_1 and p_2 are elliptic fibrations. (See [23], §2) Let $P \in \mathbf{P}^1$ be a point with the fibre $(Y_1)_P$ of type I_0^* and $(Y_2)_P = E \subseteq Y_2$ a non-singular elliptic curve. $(Y_1)_P$ has five components $C_1, \ldots, C_5$ with C_5 having multiplicity 2. We have $p_1^{-1}(C_i) \cong C_i \times E$. We wish to perform a logarithmic transformation along $C_1, \ldots, C_4$.

Let $P_1, P_0 \in E \subseteq Y_2$ be two points with $P_1 - P_0$ a 2-torsion element in $\operatorname{Pic} E$, and consider the cycle on X given by $p_2^*(P_1 - P_0)$. Since Y_2 is rational, $P_1 - P_0$ is rationally equivalent to zero on Y_2, so $p_2^*(P_1 - P_0) \sim 0$ on X. Now

$$\begin{aligned} p_2^*(P_1 - P_0) &= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i*}(P_1 \times C_i - P_0 \times C_i) + 2\alpha_{5*}(P_1 \times C_5 - P_0 \times C_5) \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i*}(P_1 \times C_i - P_0 \times C_i) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_i : C_i \times E \to X$ is the inclusion. (The last rational equivalence is because $P_1 - P_0$ is 2-torsion in E.) Because this expression is rationally equivalent to zero, as in [7], Example 5.2. we can then obtain a threefold $X' \to Y_1$ whose jacobian is $p_1 : X \to Y_1$ with fibres of multiplicity 2 along $C_1, \ldots, C_4$. X' is not minimal, but as in the proof of Theorem 0.2, one can contract $C_1, \ldots, C_4$ to obtain a surface $\bar{Y}_1$ and a minimal Calabi-Yau threefold $\bar{X}'$ with a fibration $\bar{X}' \to \bar{Y}_1$. $\bar{Y}_1$ has four A_1 points.

We now put results of the last section and this section together. This statement is very close to our final result; we will only need to show how Ogg-Shafarevich theory works in families, which we will do in the next section.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $f : X \to S$ be a Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration with S rational and generic fibre E and $Jac(E) = A$. Let $\bar{S}'$ and $\tilde{S}'$ be as in Theorem 2.9. Then there exists sections $a \in \Gamma(\tilde{S}', \omega_{\tilde{S}'}^{-4})$ and $b \in \Gamma(\tilde{S}', \omega_{\tilde{S}'}^{-6})$ such that the Weierstrass model*

$$W(\omega_{\tilde{S}'}^{-1}, a, b) \to \tilde{S}'$$

is minimal and has generic fibre A. If Σ_{red} is the reduced discriminant locus of this Weierstrass model, let $Z \subseteq \tilde{S}'$ be the union of $sing(\Sigma_{red})$ and the exceptional locus of $\tilde{S}' \to \bar{S}'$. Then $E \in \text{Ш}_{\tilde{S}'-Z}(A)$. Furthermore, this latter group is finite.

Proof. As in Proposition 2.2, we have a jacobian fibration $j' : J' \to S'$ with $K_{J'} = 0$. We obtained a composed fibration $J' \to \tilde{S}'$. This fibration has a rational section, and hence we can find a birationally equivalent minimal Weierstrass fibration

$$w : W := W(\mathcal{L}, a, b) \to \tilde{S}'.$$

It is then easy to see that $\mathcal{L} \cong \omega_{\tilde{S}'}^{-1}$. Indeed, $K_W = w^*(\omega_{\tilde{S}'} \otimes \mathcal{L})$, and if $T = \tilde{S}' - sing(\Sigma_{red})$, $W_T = W \times_{\tilde{S}'} T$ has a canonical crepant resolution of singularities $\phi : \tilde{W}_T \to W_T$ with $K_{\tilde{W}_T} = \phi^* K_{W_T}$. Furthermore, $\tilde{W}_T \to T$ and $J'_T = J' \times_{\tilde{S}'} T \to T$ are birationally equivalent and both are relatively minimal; since $K_{J'_T} = 0$, this implies that $K_{\tilde{W}_T} = 0$ also, and hence $K_{W_T} = K_W = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{L} \cong \omega_{\tilde{S}'}^{-1}$.

Now consider the fibration $\bar{X} \to \bar{S}'$. Σ^m for this fibration consists of a finite number of points, as Σ^m for $\bar{X} \to \bar{S}$ consists of isolated points. Every one of these points must be either at a singular point of $\bar{S}'$ or a singular point of the reduced discriminant locus of $\bar{X} \to \bar{S}$, $\bar{\Sigma}_{red}$, by [3], Cor. 3.2. Let $Z' = sing(\bar{\Sigma}_{red}) \cup sing(\bar{S}')$. Then $\bar{S}' - Z' = \tilde{S}' - Z$, and clearly $E \in \text{Ш}_{\bar{S}'-Z'}(A) = \text{Ш}_{\tilde{S}'-Z}(A)$.

Finally, to show the finiteness statement, let $J_M \to M$ be a Miranda model for A obtained by blowing up $\tilde{S}'$. The exceptional locus of $\psi : M \to \tilde{S}'$ maps to Z, since we only need to blow-up points of $sing(\Sigma_{red})$. Now $\psi^{-1}(Z)$ consists of a finite number of points and a union $E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_n$ of $\mathbf{P}^1$'s whose intersection matrix is negative definite by Grauert's criterion. Now Proposition 3.1 tells us that $\text{Ш}_M(A)$ is finite, Proposition 3.2 tells us that $\text{Ш}_{M-E_1\cup\cdots\cup E_n}(A)$ is then finite, and [3], Cor. 3.2 tells us that removing a finite number of further points can only increase the Tate-Shafarevich group by a finite group, so that $\text{Ш}_{M-\psi^{-1}(Z)}(A) = \text{Ш}_{\tilde{S}'-Z}(A)$ is a finite group.

§4. Proof of the Main Theorem.

Definition 4.1. *A family of elliptic three-folds is a triple* $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ *of non-singular quasi-projective varieties with a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "f"] \arrow[dr, "\pi"] & \\
\mathcal{S} \arrow[r, "g"] & \mathcal{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

with f, g *and* π *projective,* g *and* π *smooth of relative dimension 2 and 3 respectively, and the generic fibre of* f *a geometrically regular curve of genus 1.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $g : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}$ *be a family of smooth projective surfaces,* $\mathcal{L}$ *a line bundle on* $\mathcal{S}$*. Then there exists a finite number of families* $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ *of elliptic fibrations with* $f_i : \mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{S}_i$ *having a section, along with* $\mathcal{X}_i \to W_i(\mathcal{L}_i, a_i, b_i)$ *a resolution of singularities of a Weierstrass model over* $\mathcal{S}_i$*, and also with Cartesian diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_i"] & \mathcal{T}_i \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{S} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

with $\mathcal{L}_i \cong \alpha_i^* \mathcal{L}$*, such that the following property holds. For any* $t \in \mathcal{T}$*,* $S = \mathcal{S}_t$*,* $a \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}|_S)$*,* $b \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6}|_S)$ *with* $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$*, there exists a* $t' \in \mathcal{T}_i$ *for some* i *with* $a_i|_{(\mathcal{S}_i)_{t'}} = a$*,* $b_i|_{(\mathcal{S}_i)_{t'}} = b$*. Furthermore, we can assume that* $f_i : \mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{S}_i$ *is flat away from the singularities of the reduced discriminant locus of* f_i*.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6}$, and let $\mathcal{T}' = \mathbf{V}((g_*\mathcal{E})^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \mathbf{V}((g^*g_*\mathcal{E})^\vee)$, where $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{Spec}(S(\mathcal{F}))$, $S(\mathcal{F})$ the symmetric algebra of $\mathcal{F}$. By semicontinuity, we can split $\mathcal{T}$ up into a finite number of locally closed subsets of $\mathcal{T}$ on which $g_*\mathcal{E}$ is locally free, so we can assume that $g_*\mathcal{E}$ is indeed locally free. We have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}' \arrow[r, "\pi'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & \mathcal{S} \arrow[d, "g"] \\
\mathcal{T}' \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \mathcal{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now $\pi'^*\mathcal{E}$ has a universal section given by the composition

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}'} \to \pi'^* g^* g_* \mathcal{E} \to \pi'^* \mathcal{E}$$

where the first map is the universal section of $\pi'^* g^* g_* \mathcal{E}$ ([9], 9.4.9). If we write this section as (a, b), $a \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}', \pi'^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4})$ and $b \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}', \pi'^*\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6})$, then if $t' \in \mathcal{T}'$ corresponds to a point (t, a', b'), $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $a' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_t, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4}|_{\mathcal{S}_t})$, $b' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_t, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 6}|_{\mathcal{S}_t})$, then $a, b|_{(\mathcal{S}')_{t'}} = a', b'$. We then have a universal Weierstrass model

$$W' = W(\pi'^*\mathcal{L}, a, b) \to \mathcal{S}',$$

and we can replace $\mathcal{T}'$ with the open subset

$$\{t' \in \mathcal{T}' | 4a^3 + 27b^2 \text{ is not identically zero on } (\mathcal{S}')_{t'}\},$$

and replace $\mathcal{S}'$ with the inverse image of this open subset. Thus we can assume that no fibre of g' is contained in the discriminant locus $\Sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$.

Now let $\mathcal{X}' \to W'$ be a resolution of singularities, and let $\mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ be a dense open set on which $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{T}'$ is smooth, $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}' \times_{\mathcal{T}'} \mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{X}' \times_{\mathcal{T}'} \mathcal{T}_1$, and

$$W_1 = W(\pi'^*\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{S}_1}, a|_{\mathcal{S}_1}, b|_{\mathcal{S}_1}) \to \mathcal{S}_1.$$

As in [15], §7, this resolution can be performed canonically away from the singular points of the reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} of $W' \to \mathcal{S}'$. Thus we can assume that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{S}'$ is flat away from $sing(\Sigma_{red})$. Note also that a Weierstrass model is always non-singular at the section at infinity, so the resolution can be performed so that $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{S}'$ has a section. Now replace $\mathcal{T}'$ with a finite disjoint union of non-singular locally closed subsets of $\mathcal{T}' - \mathcal{T}_1$, whose union is $\mathcal{T}' - \mathcal{T}_1$, replace W' and $\mathcal{S}'$ with restrictions of these to the new $\mathcal{T}'$, and then construct a resolution of singularities of the smaller W'. By Noetherian induction, we eventually cover all of $\mathcal{T}'$ in this fashion, proving the theorem. •

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}, \bar{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{T})$ be a family of elliptic fibrations such that $\bar{f} : \bar{\mathcal{X}} \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ has a section, and let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{S}}$ be an open subset, $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}} \bar{\mathcal{X}}$. Assume furthermore that the reduced discriminant locus Σ_{red} of $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$ is smooth over $\mathcal{T}$, every component of Σ_{red} surjects onto $\mathcal{T}$, and that f is flat. Furthermore, assume that for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$ is a finite group, where A_t is the generic fibre of $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathcal{S}_t$. Then there exists a finite collection of families of elliptic threefolds $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i, \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ with maps $p_i : \mathcal{T}_i \to \mathcal{T}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_i = \mathcal{T}_i \times_{\mathcal{T}} \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, such that for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, and for all $E_t \in \text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$, there exists a $t' \in \mathcal{T}_i$ for some i such that $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i)_{t'} \to (\bar{\mathcal{S}}_i)_{t'}$ has generic fibre E_t.*

We will need five lemmas:

Lemma 4.4. *Let $i : \eta \to \mathcal{S}$ be the inclusion of the generic point of $\mathcal{S}$ into $\mathcal{S}$ in the situation of Theorem 4.3, $g : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}$ the restriction of $\bar{g} : \bar{\mathcal{S}} \to \mathcal{T}$. Then for any integer $n > 0$, ${}_nR^1g_*(i_*A)$, the n-torsion subsheaf of $R^1g_*(i_*A)$, is a constructible sheaf on $\mathcal{T}$, where A is the generic fibre of $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof: Let Σ be the discriminant locus of the elliptic fibration $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$R^1g_*(i_*A) \subseteq R^1g'_*(i_*A)|_{\mathcal{S}-\Sigma},$$

where g' is the restriction of g to $\mathcal{S} - \Sigma$. This can be verified on stalks since $\text{Ш}_S(A) \subseteq \text{Ш}_U(A)$ whenever $U \subseteq S$ is an open subset of a variety S. Since a subsheaf of a constructible sheaf is constructible ([14, V 1.9]), it is enough to show the Lemma when Σ is empty, replacing $\mathcal{S}$ by $\mathcal{S} - \Sigma$. Assume that Σ is empty. By [3], 1.11 and 1.12, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}} \cong i_* i^* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}$$

where $P_{X/S} = R^1 f_* \mathbf{G}_m$ for a morphism $f : X \to S$, and we also have the exact sequence

$$0 \to i_* A \to i_* i^* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}} \to \mathbf{Z} \to 0.$$

([3], §1, (6)) Applying g_*, we see that

$$R^1 g_*(i_* A) \cong R^1 g_*(i_* i^* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}) \cong R^1 g_* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}}.$$

Now by the Leray spectral sequence and [3], 1.4, we have

$$R^1 g_* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}} \cong \frac{R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m}{R^2 g_* \mathbf{G}_m},$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}$ is the restriction of $\bar{\pi} : \bar{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{T}$. Taking n-torsion, we see that we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to {}_n R^2 g_* \mathbf{G}_m \to {}_n R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m \to {}_n R^1 g_* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}} \to (R^2 g_* \mathbf{G}_m) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi} (R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z},$$

and by the Kummer sequence we obtain a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & (R^2 g_* \mathbf{G}_m) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & R^3 g_* \mu_n \arrow[d, "f^*"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & (R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m) \otimes \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z} \arrow[r] & R^3 \pi_* \mu_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since f^* is injective as f has a section, ϕ is also injective, and hence we obtain

$${}_n R^1 g_* P_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{S}} \cong \frac{{}_n R^2 \pi_* \mathbf{G}_m}{{}_n R^2 g_* \mathbf{G}_m}.$$

Now we have by the Kummer sequence that $R^2 \pi_* \mu_n \to {}_n R^2 \pi_* \mathcal{G}_m \to 0$, and $R^2 \pi_* \mu_n$ is constructible, so $(R^2 \pi^* \mathbf{G}_m)_n$ is also. Tracing back, we obtain the Lemma. •

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth, proper morphism, and let $D \subseteq X$ be an effective divisor, $D = D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_n$, with the D_i irreducible components of D. We say D is simple normal crossings relative to Y if whenever $x \in D$, and $I = \{i | x \in D_i\}$, then $\bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \to Y$ is smooth of relative dimension $\dim X - \dim Y - \# I$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper, smooth morphism with X and Y of finite type over a field of characteristic zero. Let $D \subseteq X$ be a divisor which has simple normal crossings over Y. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant constructible torsion sheaf on X, and let $g : X - D \to Y$ be the restriction. Then $R^i g_* \mathcal{F}$ commutes with arbitrary base changes over Y.*

Proof. This is a standard application of purity (see e.g. [2], Th. Finitude, Appendice).

First note that the lemma is true if D is empty by the proper base change theorem ([14], VI 2.3). Now let

$$X_r = X - \bigcup_{\#I=r} \left(\bigcap_{i\in I} D_i \right),$$

and $X_{n+1} = X$, where n is the number of components of D. We then have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{r-1} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dr, "g"'] & X_r \arrow[d, "f"] & X_r - X_{r-1} = Z_r \arrow[l, "i"'] \arrow[dl, "h"] \\
& S &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since D is simple normal crossings over Y, h is smooth.

By [14], VI 5.3, there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
\cdots \to R^{j-2c-1}h_*(i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes T_{Z_r/X_r}) \to R^{j-1}f_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X_r}) \to R^{j-1}g_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X_{r-1}}) \\
\to R^{j-2c}h_*(i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes T_{Z_r/X_r}) \to R^j f_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X_r}) \to \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

where T_{Z_r/X_r} is a locally constant sheaf on Z_r. From this we see that if $R^j g_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X_r})$ and $R^j h_*(i^*\mathcal{F} \otimes T_{Z_r/X_r})$ commute with base change for all j, then so does $R^{j-1}g_*(\mathcal{F}|_{X_{r-1}})$. Thus by induction on $\dim X$ and descending induction on r, we obtain the desired result. •

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a compactifiable smooth morphism, X and Y of finite type over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then there exists a dense open set $U \subseteq Y$ such that for any locally constant constructible torsion sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X, $(R^i f_* \mathcal{F})_{\bar{y}} = H^i(X_{\bar{y}}, \mathcal{F})$ for all $y \in U$, and $(R^i f_* \mathcal{F})|_U$ is locally constant.*

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Y$ be a compactification of f. By resolution of singularities, we can assume that $\bar{X} - X$ is a simple normal crossings divisor. By generic smoothness, there exists $U \subseteq Y$ such that $\bar{X} - X$ is simple normal crossings over U. We then apply Lemma 4.5. The two claims then follow as in [14] VI 2.5 and 4.2. •

Now consider the following situation. Let $f : X \to S$ be an elliptic fibration with a section with generic fibre A, and let $S' \subseteq S$ be an irreducible locally closed subset such that the generic fibre A' of $f' : X \times_S S' \to S'$ is an elliptic curve. Let i be the inclusion of the generic point of S in S and i' the inclusion of the generic point of S' in S'. Let $g : S' \to S$ be the inclusion. I claim there is a natural restriction map

$$Ш_S(A) \to Ш_{S'}(A')$$

defined as follows. First, there is a map

$$i_* A \to g_* i'_* A'.$$

Indeed, a section of $i_* A$ corresponds to a rational section of f over an open set U. By restricting this rational section to $U' = U \times_S S'$, we obtain a rational section of f' over U' provided that the original section was defined over an open dense set of S'. But this is indeed the case, as a rational section is always defined where f is smooth by [20], Lemma 1.9. Thus we obtain a composition $H^1(S, i_* A) \to H^1(S, g_* i'_* A') \to H^1(S', i_* A')$, the latter map by the Leray spectral sequence. This is the desired restriction map. It is then clear that if $E \in Ш_S(A)$ and $g : Y \to S$ is an elliptic fibration with generic fibre E, and if $g|_{S'}$ is an elliptic fibration over S', then the latter has generic fibre $E|_{S'}$, the image of E in $Ш_{S'}(A')$.

As one particular case of this, there is, in our situation in Theorem 4.3, a restriction map

$$(R^1 g_*(i_* A))_{\bar{t}} \to Ш_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$$

where A_t is the generic fibre of $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathcal{S}_t$ whenever $t \in \mathcal{T}$ is a closed point. Indeed, $(R^1 g_*(i_* A))_{\bar{t}} \cong H^1(\mathcal{S} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T},\bar{t}}, i_* A)$ where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T},\bar{t}}$ is the strict henselization of the local ring of $\mathcal{T}$ at t, and we then use the above restriction map with $S' = \mathcal{S}_t$. We then have

Lemma 4.7. *In the situation of Theorem 4.3, there exists a dense Zariski open subset* $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ *for which the following is true. For all closed points* $t \in U$*, with* A_t *the generic fibre of* $\mathcal{X}_t \to \mathcal{S}_t$*, the natural restriction map*

$$({}_n R^1 g_*(i_* A))_{\bar{t}} \to {}_n Ш_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$$

is surjective, for any integer n.

Proof: First, let Σ be the discriminant locus of f. Let $g' : \mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S} - \Sigma \to \mathcal{T}$ be the restriction of g, $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{S}' \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{X}$, and f', π' the restrictions of f and π to $\mathcal{X}'$. As shown in the proof of Lemma 4.4, we have

$${}_n R^1 g'_*(i_* A)|_{\mathcal{S}'} \cong \frac{{}_n R^2 \pi'_* \mathbf{G}_m}{{}_n R^2 g'_* \mathbf{G}_m}$$

and similarly

$$ {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}'_t}(A_t) = \frac{{}_nH^2(\mathcal{X}'_t, \mathbf{G}_m)}{{}_nH^2(\mathcal{S}'_t, \mathbf{G}_m)}. $$

To show the lemma for $\mathcal{S}'$, it is then enough to show that there is some open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ such that the natural restriction map

$$ {}_n(R^2\pi'_*\mathbf{G}_m)_{\bar{t}} \to {}_nH^2(\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m) $$

is surjective for $t \in U$ for any n. But by the Kummer sequence, we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(R^2\pi'_*\mu_n)_{\bar{t}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & {}_n(R^2\pi'_*\mathbf{G}_m)_{\bar{t}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
H^2(\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{t}}, \mu_n) \arrow[r] & {}_nH^2(\mathcal{X}'_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 4.5, the first vertical arrow is surjective for all n for $t \in U$ for some dense open set U of $\mathcal{T}$, and hence so is the second. This proves the Lemma for the family $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{S}', \mathcal{T})$.

Now note that

$$ (R^1g'_*(i_*A)|_{\mathcal{S}'})_{\bar{t}} = \text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}'(\bar{t})}(A(\bar{t})) $$

where $\mathcal{S}'(\bar{t}) = \mathcal{S}' \times_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T},\bar{t}}$, and $A(\bar{t}) = A \times_\eta \eta(\bar{t})$, where $\eta(\bar{t})$ is the generic point of $\mathcal{S}'(\bar{t})$. We have a similar equality for g, and hence a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}(\bar{t})}(A(\bar{t})) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "r_1"] & {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}'(\bar{t})}(A(\bar{t})) \arrow[d, "r_2"] \\
0 \arrow[r] & {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}}(A_{\bar{t}}) \arrow[r] & {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}'_{\bar{t}}}(A_{\bar{t}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We have shown that r_2 is surjective for $t \in U$. We wish to show that r_1 is.

Let $E \in {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}}(A_{\bar{t}})$, $E' \in {}_n\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}'(\bar{t})}(A(\bar{t}))$ with $r_2(E') = E$. Let $Z \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\bar{t})$ be the closed subset of $\mathcal{S}(\bar{t})$ defined by

$$ Z = \{ s \in \mathcal{S}(\bar{t}) | loc_{\bar{s}}(E') \neq 0 \}. $$

Suppose $Z \neq \phi$. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ be the generic points of the irreducible components of Z. Since $\Sigma \to \mathcal{T}$ is smooth, $\Sigma \times_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T},\bar{t}}$ in particular is non-singular, and hence by [3], Cor. 3.2, all the s_i are generic points of $\Sigma \times_{\mathcal{T}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{T},\bar{t}}$. Thus $\overline{\{s_i\}} \cap \mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}} \neq \phi$ (as each component of Σ surjects onto $\mathcal{T}$), and if s'_i is the generic point of this intersection, then $loc_{\bar{s}'_i}(E) \neq 0$, contradicting $E \in \text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}}(A_{\bar{t}})$. Thus $Z = \phi$ and $E' \in \text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}(\bar{t})}(A(\bar{t}))$ and r_1 is surjective. •

Lemma 4.8. *With the hypothesis of Theorem 4.3, there exists an* $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ *and a dense open subset* $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ *such that* $\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$ *is killed by* n *for all closed points* $t \in U$.

Proof: First we claim that there exists a dense open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ for which

$$H^2(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)/H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)$$

is constant for all $t \in U$, assuming that it is always finite. Recall from [8], II 3.2 that if X is a scheme smooth over a field k of characteristic zero, then $H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m) = (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^r \oplus G$ for some finite group G and some r. We define $H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)^f := G$. Thus since we are assuming $H^2(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)/H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)$ is finite, this group is the same as $H^2(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)^f/H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)^f$. Furthermore, $H^2(X, \mathbf{G}_m)^f(l) = H^3(X, \mathbf{Z}_l[1])_{tors}$ by [8], III, (8.9). Thus by Lemma 4.5, there exists an open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ such that these groups are constant.

Now from the proof of [3], Theorem 2.24 a), there exists a locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on the discriminant locus Σ of f which is represented by an étale scheme over Σ such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2(\mathcal{X}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m)/H^2(\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}, \mathbf{G}_m) \to \text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}}(A_t) \to H^1(\Sigma_{\bar{t}}, \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{S}_{\bar{t}}})$$

for all closed points $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Again by Lemma 4.5, there is an open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ on which the latter group is constant (and finite). This proves the Lemma. •

Proof of Theorem 4.3: We prove the theorem by Noetherian induction, proving it for a dense open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. By [14, V, 1.8], there exists an open subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ for which $\mathcal{F} = {}_nR^1g_*(i_*A)|_U$ is locally constant with finite stalks, (with n given by Lemma 4.8), as the latter sheaf is constructible by Lemma 4.4. Thus there exists an étale cover $U' \to U$ with $\mathcal{F}|_{U'}$ constant, $\mathcal{F}|_{U'} \cong G_{U'}$, G a finite group. Now for all $x \in U', g \in G$, there exists an étale neighborhood $U_{x,g} \to U'$ of x such that the element of the stalk $g \in (\mathcal{F}|_{U'})_{\bar{x}} = G$ is represented by an element $E_{x,g} \in H^1(U_{x,g} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{S}, i_*A)$. By quasi-compactness, we can find an open subcover of $\{U_{x,g} | x \in U'\}$ which covers U', for each g. In this manner, one obtains a finite collection of connected étale schemes $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ over U' and elements $E_j \in H^1(U_j \times_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{S}, i_*A)$ such that for all closed points $t \in U$, restricting each E_j to $\mathcal{S}_t$ gives all elements of $\text{Ш}_{\mathcal{S}_t}(A_t)$, by Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8.

We now only have to construct suitable models for the E_i. We will find a decomposition of U_i into a finite number of locally closed subsets $\mathcal{T}_{ij}$ along with families of elliptic fibrations $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}_{ij}, \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{ij}, \mathcal{T}_{ij})$, $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_{ij} \cong \mathcal{T}_{ij} \times_{\mathcal{T}} \bar{\mathcal{S}}$, such that the generic fibre of $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_{ij} \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{ij}$ is $E_i|_{\mathcal{S}_{ij}}$. This can be done by constructing a family $(\mathcal{X}_{i1}, \mathcal{S}_{i1}, \mathcal{T}_{i1})$ with $\mathcal{T}_{i1} \subseteq U_i$ a dense open subset, and then applying Noetherian induction, replacing U_i with a finite number of non-singular locally closed subsets of U_i whose union is the complement of $\mathcal{T}_{i1}$ in U_i, and then restricting E_i to the inverse image of these locally closed subsets on $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S} \times_{\mathcal{T}} U_i$.

One can construct a projective morphism $f_i : \bar{\mathcal{X}}_i \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i$, where $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_i = \bar{\mathcal{S}} \times_{\mathcal{T}} U_i$, with generic fibre E_i, and by resolution of singularities, $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i$ can be taken to be non-singular. Now there is an open set $\mathcal{T}_{i1} \subseteq U_i$ on which $\bar{\mathcal{X}}_i \to U_i$ is smooth, by generic smoothness. This gives the desired family. •

Proof of Theorem 0.1: First apply Theorem 4.2 to a number of different families, with $\mathcal{L} = \omega_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{T}}^{-1}$:

1) $\mathcal{T}$ a point, $\mathcal{S}$ a $\mathbf{P^2}$ or F_e, $0 \leq e \leq 12$.
2) $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathcal{T}_i$ a finite set of families of surfaces which are minimal resolutions of all possible rank one Gorenstein log Del Pezzo surfaces with only A_n singularities.
3) $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathcal{T}_i$ a finite set of families which include all possible surfaces S of the following type: S is a minimal resolution of a minimal ruled surface $S' \to \mathbf{P}^1$ with ≤ 4 singular fibres with only A_n singularities, and there is a birational morphism $S \to F_e$, $0 \leq e \leq 2$.

It is not hard to construct the families in 2) and 3): in case 2), any minimal resolution of a Gorenstein log Del Pezzo surface is the blowup of F_2 in ≤ 7 points, by [17], Lemma 3. In this case, there is a map $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathcal{S}_i'$ over $\mathcal{T}_i$ induced by some sufficiently high power of $\mathcal{L}$ which contracts only the -2 curves on the fibres of $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathcal{T}_i$. Let $\mathcal{E}_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}_i$ be the exceptional locus of this contraction.

In case 3), any such surface is a blowup of F_e, $0 \leq e \leq 2$, in ≤ 12 points. For example, to construct a family of resolutions which map to F_e of minimal ruled surfaces with two A_1 singularities and one singular fibre, put $\mathcal{T} = F_e$, and obtain $\mathcal{S}$ by first blowing up $F_e \times \mathcal{T}$ along the diagonal Δ to obtain $\alpha : \mathcal{S}' \to F_e \times \mathcal{T}$ with exceptional locus $\tilde{\Delta}$. Let $p : F_e \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathcal{T}$ be the natural ruling. Next blow up $\mathcal{S}'$ along $\tilde{\Delta} \cap F$, where F is the proper transform of $p^{-1}(p(\Delta))$ via α. This new blow-up is $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ be the union of the proper transforms of $\tilde{\Delta}$ and F on $\mathcal{S}$. This is the union of the -2 curves on the fibres of $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{T}$ which must be contracted to obtain the singular, minimal ruled surfaces with one singular fibre with two A_1 singularities. Likewise, one can construct other families $\mathcal{S}_i \to \mathcal{T}_i$ covering all such minimal ruled surfaces, and let $\mathcal{E}_i$ be the corresponding union of -2 curves.

After applying Theorem 4.2, we obtain a new set of families $(\bar{X}_i, \bar{S}_i, \mathcal{T}_i)$ in which in particular any jacobian Calabi-Yau elliptic fibration appears. (We can throw out any family which contains threefolds which aren't Calabi-Yau which may have popped up, as being

Calabi-Yau is deformation invariant.) One also has Weierstrass models $w_i : \bar{W}_i \to \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i$. Let $\Sigma_i \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i$ be the reduced discriminant locus of $\bar{W}_i$. By decomposing $\mathcal{T}_i$ into a finite number of locally closed subsets, we can assume that if $\mathcal{Z}_i \subseteq \Sigma_i$ is the locus of points where $\Sigma_i \to \mathcal{T}_i$ is not smooth, then each irreducible component of $\Sigma_i - \mathcal{Z}_i$ maps surjectively to $\mathcal{T}_i$. (For this to be the case, $\mathcal{Z}_i$ must be of relative dimension zero.) Furthermore, in cases 2) and 3) above, we have $\mathcal{E}_i \subseteq \mathcal{S}_i$ which are as above. Now apply Theorem 4.3 with $\mathcal{S}_i = \bar{\mathcal{S}}_i - \mathcal{Z}_i \cup \mathcal{E}_i$. By Theorem 3.5, the finiteness hypothesis of Theorem 4.3 is satisfied, and Theorem 3.5 also tells us that all Calabi-Yau elliptic fibrations are thus obtained in applying Theorem 4.3. •

Bibliography

[1] Deligne, P., "Courbes Elliptiques: Formulaire d'après J. Tate," in *Modular Functions in One Variable IV,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 476, Springer-Verlag, 1975, pg. 53-74.

[2] Deligne, P., with Boutot, J.-F., Illusie, L., and Verdier, J.-L., SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, *Cohomologie Étale*, Lecture Notes in Math., 569, Springer, Heidelberg 1977.

[3] Dolgachev, I., and Gross, M., "Elliptic Three-folds I: Ogg-Shafarevich Theory," preprint, 1992 (revised version, April 1993).

[4] Grassi, A., "On Minimal Models of Elliptic Threefolds," *Math. Ann.* **290**, 287–301 (1991).

[5] Grassi, A., "The Singularities of the Parameter Surface of a Minimal Elliptic Threefold," To appear in *Int. J. of Math.,* **4**, ???–??? (1993).

[6] Grassi, A., "Log Contractions and Equidimensional Models of Elliptic Threefolds," Preprint (1993).

[7] Gross, M., "Elliptic Three-folds II: Multiple Fibres," MSRI preprint #018-93, 1992.

[8] Grothendieck, A., "Le Groupe de Brauer, I, II, III," In *Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas,* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, 46-188.

[9] Grothendieck, A., and Dieudonné, J., *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, I, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften*, **166**, Springer-Verlag, (1971).

[10] Hunt, B., "A Bound on the Euler Number for Certain Calabi-Yau Threefolds," *J. Reine Angew. Math.,* **411** , 137–170 (1990).

[11] Kawamata, Y., "Kodaira Dimensions of Certain Algebraic Fiber Spaces," *J. Fac. Sci., Tokyo Univ. IA*, **30**, 1–24 (1983).

[12] Kollár, J., and Mori, S., "Classification of Three-dimensional Flips," *J. of the AMS*,

5, 533–703 (1992).

[13] Kollar, J., et al, *Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds*, to appear.

[14] Milne, J. *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1980.

[15] Miranda, R., "Smooth Models for Elliptic Threefolds," in *Birational Geometry of Degenerations,* Birkhauser, (1983) 85-133.

[16] Miranda, R., and Persson, U., "On Extremal Rational Elliptic Surfaces," *Math. Z.,* **193** 537–558 (1986).

[17] Miyanishi, M., and Zhang, D.Q., "Gorenstein log Del Pezzo Surfaces of Rank One," *Journal of Algebra,* **118**, 63–84, (1988).

[18] Mumford, D., and Suominen, K., "Introduction to the Theory of Moduli," in *Algebraic Geometry, Oslo 1970,* Wolters-Noordhoff Press, (1972) 171-222.

[19] Nakayama, N., "On Weierstrass Models," *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata,* 405–431, (1987).

[20] Nakayama, N., "Local Structure of an Elliptic Fibration," Preprint, 1991, Univ. of Tokyo.

[21] Oguiso, K., "On Algebraic Fiber Space Structures on a Calabi-Yau Threefold," preprint, 1992.

[22] Sakai, F., "The Structure of Normal Surfaces," *Duke Math. J.,* **52**, 627–648 (1985).

[23] Schoen, C., "On Fiber Products of Rational Elliptic Surfaces with Section," *Math. Z.,* **197**, 177–199 (1988).